

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/714,724	RADHAKRISHNAN ET AL.
	Examiner Raymond Covington	Art Unit 1625

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Raymond Covington.

(3) _____.

(2) Connie Tong (app rep).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-16

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants agreed to amend claim 1 to reposition the HCL solvent to read "dilute HCL or an organic solvent. claims 1-16 are allowed.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)